

Supporting Information

Supplementary methods and results

This appendix was part of the submitted manuscript and has been peer reviewed. It is posted as supplied by the authors.

Appendix to: Freeman CR, Scott IA, Hemming K, et al. Reducing Medical Admissions and Presentations Into Hospital through Optimising Medicines (REMAIN HOME): a stepped wedge, cluster randomised controlled trial. *Med J Aust* 2021; doi: 10.5694/mja2.50942.

Table 1. Patient recruitment into the stepped wedge design*

General practice	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9
1	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 3
	Consented: 2	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 1	Consented: 0	Consented: 1	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 2
2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 0	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 0	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 2
	Consented: 0	Consented: 0	Consented: 1	Consented: 0	Consented: 4	Consented: 0	Consented: 1	Consented: 2	Consented: 2
3	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 3
	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 4	Consented: 0	Consented: 5	Consented: 3	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 1
4	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 8	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 5
	Consented: 1	Consented: 3	Consented: 3	Consented: 1	Consented: 4	Consented: 6	Consented: 0	Consented: 4	Consented: 5
5	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 5
	Consented: 3	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 2	Consented: 3	Consented: 2	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 1
6	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 7	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 3
	Consented: 3	Consented: 3	Consented: 6	Consented: 4	Consented: 3	Consented: 1	Consented: 5	Consented: 2	Consented: 2
7	Eligible: 10	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 7	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 6
	Consented: 9	Consented: 4	Consented: 2	Consented: 6	Consented: 4	Consented: 3	Consented: 3	Consented: 5	Consented: 5
8	Eligible: 7	Eligible: 11	Eligible: 10	Eligible: 16	Eligible: 11	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 4
	Consented: 5	Consented: 7	Consented: 8	Consented: 15	Consented: 9	Consented: 5	Consented: 4	Consented: 4	Consented: 3
9	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 5
	Consented: 6	Consented: 5	Consented: 5	Consented: 4	Consented: 1	Consented: 5	Consented: 2	Consented: 3	Consented: 4
10	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 2
	Consented: 0	Consented: 3	Consented: 4	Consented: 1	Consented: 4	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 1	Consented: 2
11	Eligible: 10	Eligible: 7	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 10	Eligible: 8	Eligible: 13	Eligible: 10	Eligible: 6	Eligible: 8
	Consented: 7	Consented: 6	Consented: 4	Consented: 7	Consented: 5	Consented: 10	Consented: 8	Consented: 4	Consented: 2
12	Eligible: 4	Eligible: 0	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 1
	Consented: 1	Consented: 0	Consented: 3	Consented: 1	Consented: 1	Consented: 0	Consented: 2	Consented: 0	Consented: 1
13	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 5	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 3
	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 1	Consented: 3	Consented: 0	Consented: 0	Consented: 1	Consented: 1	Consented: 2
14	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 0	Eligible: 2	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 3	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 1	Eligible: 3
	Consented: 1	Consented: 0	Consented: 2	Consented: 2	Consented: 0	Consented: 2	Consented: 1	Consented: 1	Consented: 3

^{*} Blue, white and orange cells represent months that the general practice was in the control phase, lead-in phase and intervention phase, respectively.

Table 2. General practice characteristics

General practice	Dominant billing type	Full-time equivalent GPs	GP registrars	Active patients*	Standardised whole patient equivalent s	Practice nurses	Medical specialist and allied health professionals	Pharmacies within 5 km	IRSAD percentile [†]
1	Mixed billing	12	6	8452	5587	5	9	12	95
2	Private billing	5.2	1	15 593	4773	4	2	4	59
3	Private billing	7	0	11 297	5073	6	14	8	61
4	Mixed billing	10	4	9893	6752	5	2	4	80
5	Bulk billing	7	0	9400	5431	4	9	8	15
6	Bulk billing	8	2	3500	2405	4	8	8	4
7	Bulk billing	9	2	8693	5290	3	5	6	75
8	Mixed billing	16	2	100 868	14 512	5	1	18	68
9	Mixed billing	8	2	10 902	7506	4	6	6	74
10	Bulk billing	10	6	18 762	4588	4	12	4	33
11	Mixed billing	9	3	11 103	8182	4	7	14	71
12	Bulk billing	8	0	26 512	9248	5	3	10	4
13	Bulk billing	2.3	1	NA	2000	1	1	2	80
14	Mixed billing	6	1	6134	4586	2	0	4	75

IRSAD = Index of Relative Socio-Economic Advantage and Disadvantage (https://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/by%20Subject/2033.0.55.001~2016~Main%20Features~IRSAD~20).

NA = not available.

^{*} At least three visits during the preceding two years.

[†] Higher percentiles indicate more advantage and less disadvantage in general.

Table 3. Practice pharmacist characteristics (n = 11*)

Characteristic	
Sex (women)	10 (91%)
Years with registrable pharmacy degree, mean (SD)	25.6 (11.1)
Postgraduate qualifications	9 (82%)
Previous field of employment	
Independent consultant	9 (82%)
Community	6 (55%)
Academia	2 (18%)
Hospital	1 (9%)
Accreditation with the Australian Association of Consultant Pharmacy (AACP)	11 (100%)
Years accredited with AACP, mean (SD)	10.5 (4.4)

SD = standard deviation.

Table 4. Analyis of major outcome (12-month hospital re-admissions), by software package and analysis type

Software/random effect analysis	Incidence rate ratio (95% CI)			
Stata 14.1				
Random effects				
Random cluster and cluster by period	DNC			
Random cluster	0.74 (0.50–1.08) [<i>P</i> = 0.12]			
No random effects	0.69 (0.49–0.96) [<i>P</i> = 0.030]			
SAS 9.4				
Random cluster and cluster by period	DNC			
Random cluster	DNC			
No random effects	0.69 (0.49–0.97) [<i>P</i> = 0. 034]			

DNC = did not converge or fitted model was unstable

Stata commands used are: *mepoisson* for IRR with random effects and *poisson* for IRR without random effects; in SAS, a Poisson distribution was specified for IRRs. All models were adjusted for time period.

Table 5. Analyis of major outcome (12-month hospital re-admissions), by random effects model

Model/random effect	Incidence rate ratio (95% CI)				
Poisson					
Cluster and cluster by time	DNC				
Cluster	0.74 (0.50–1.08)				
None	0.69 (0.49-0.96)				
Negative binomial					
Cluster and cluster by time	DNC				
Cluster	DNC				
None	0.65 (0.33–1.26)				
Poisson with zero inflation					
None	0.66 (0.45–0.96)				
Negative binomial with zero inflation					
None	0.61 (0.32–1.17)				

CI = confidence interval; DNC = Did not converge or fitted model was unstable...

^{*} Two pharmacists did not complete the questionnaire; one pharmacist worked in two practices.

Table 6. Model convergence and random effect components for all outcomes and time points, by software package

			Time-ad	justed			Fully ad	justed*		
		Apparent	Rando	om effect	Stable	Apparent	Rand	om effect	Stable	
Outcome		convergence	Coefficient	95% CI	model	convergence	Coefficient	95% CI	model	
30 days										
Re-admission	Stata	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
	SAS	Yes	0.000	_	No ²	Yes	0.000	_	No ²	
ED presentation	Stata	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
	SAS	No	_	_		No	_	_	_	
Composite	Stata	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
	SAS	Yes	0.000	_	No ²	Yes	0.000	_	No ²	
90 days										
Re-admission	Stata	Yes	0.033	0.001-0.807	Yes	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
	SAS	Yes	0.001	0.001-0.001	No ²	No	_	_	_	
ED presentation	Stata	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
·	SAS	No	_	_	_	No	_	_	_	
Composite	Stata	Yes	0.061	0.007-0.538	Yes	Yes	0.012	0.0005-0.729	No	
	SAS	Yes	0.002	0.002-0.002	No ²	Yes	0.001	0.001-0.001	No ²	
180 days										
Re-admission	Stata	Yes	0.015	0.000-1.191	Yes	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
	SAS	No		_	_	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
ED presentation	Stata	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
,	SAS	No	_	_	_	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
Composite	Stata	Yes	0.022	0.001-0.399	Yes	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
,	SAS	Yes	0.000	0.000-0.000	No ²	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
12 months										
Re-admission	Stata	Yes	0.038	0.007-0.198	Yes	Yes	0.064	0.014-0.282	Yes	
	SAS	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	No	_	—	_	
ED presentation	Stata	Yes	0.120	0.015-0.946	Yes	Yes	0.171	0.026-1.101	Yes	
p. 000	SAS	Yes	0.001	0.001-0.001	No ²	Yes	0.000	_	No ¹	
Composite	Stata	Yes	0.066	0.020-0.218	Yes	Yes	0.080	0.025-0.262	Yes	
Composito	SAS	No	_	_	_	No	_	—	_	

CI = confidence interval; ED = emergency department.

All models have included cluster as a random effect.

^{*} Adjusted model contains adjustment for: age, sex, days to general practitioner visit, length of stay, planned or unplanned, number of medications, and number of comorbid conditions.

¹ Deemed unstable as confidence interval for random effect not reported.

 $^{^{2}\ \}mbox{\sc Deemed}$ unstable as confidence interval is the same as the point estimate.

Table 7. Per protocol evaluation of primary and secondary outcomes, by study group and time period

	No. of events	(incidence rate)	Incidence ratio ratio (95% CI)		
Exposure and outcomes	Control	Intervention	Time-adjusted	Fully adjusted*	
30 days		·			
Exposure time (person-months)	176.1	101.0			
Hospital re-admissions	59 (0.33)	14 (0.14)	0.35 (0.14-0.86)	0.38 (0.15-0.98)	
Non-admitted ED presentations	15 (0.09)	4 (0.04)	0.60 (0.06-5.63)	0.52 (0.03-8.26)	
Hospital re-admission or ED presentation	74 (0.42)	18 (0.16)	0.38 (0.17-0.86)	0.41 (0.17-0.98)	
90 days					
Exposure time (person-years)	43.0	24.7			
Hospital re-admissions	105 (2.4)	31 (1.26)	0.55 (0.30-1.00)	0.59 (0.32-1.11)	
Non-admitted ED presentations	27 (0.63)	11 (0.45)	0.49 (0.18-1.32)	0.59 (0.20-1.74)	
Hospital re-admission or ED presentation	132 (3.07)	42 (1.70)	0.53 (0.31-0.89)	0.60 (0.35-1.03)	
180 days					
Exposure time (person-years)	85.4	48.9			
Hospital re-admissions	156 (1.83)	57 (1.17)	0.71 (0.44–1.15)	0.75 (0.46-1.22)	
Non-admitted ED presentations	52 (0.56)	16 (0.33)	0.29 (0.12-0.69)	0.31 (0.12-0.80)	
Hospital re-admission or ED presentation	208 (2.44)	73 (1.49)	057 (0.38-0.87)	0.62 (0.41-0.96)	
12 months					
Exposure time (person-years)	170.6	97.9			
Hospital re-admissions	282 (1.65)	109 (1.11)	0.70 (0.49-1.00)	0.71 (0.50-1.01)	
Non-admitted ED presentations	88 (0.52)	37 (0.38)	0.40 (0.22-0.74)	0.45 (0.23-0.87)	
Hospital re-admission or ED presentation	370 (2.17)	146 (1.49)	0.61 (0.45-0.83)	0.64 (0.47-0.87)	

CI = confidence interval; ED = emergency department.

All models were adjusted for time period.

^{*} Adjusted for age, sex, days to GP visit, length of stay, planned or unplanned admission, number of medications, and number of comorbid conditions.